UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THE WILDERNESS SOCIETYet al,	
Plaintiffs,	Civil Action No. 1:17cv-02587 (TSC)
V.	
DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United Stat est ,al,	
Defendants.	
GRAND STAIRCASE ESCALANTE PARTNERS,et al,	
Plaintiffs,	Civil Action No. 1:17cv-02591 (TSC)
v.	
DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity as President of the United Stat es ,al,	
Defendants.	CONSOLIDATED CASES

AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF OF LAW PROFESSORS IN SUPPORT OF 3/\$,17,))6¶ 23326,7,21 72 027,21 72 ',60,66

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pages

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii.
STATEMENT OF INTERES	1
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	2
ARGUMENT	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Dames & Moore v. Regan 453 U.S. 654 (1981.)	11
Food and Drug Admistration v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp 529 U.S. 120	11
Kleppe v. New Mexiço 426 U.S. 529 (1976)	9
Little v. Barreme 6 U.S. (2 Cranch) 170 (1804)	1.1
Summers v. Earth Islan ld stitute, 555 U.S. 488 (2009)	4-5
United States v. San Francișco 310 U.S. 16 (1940)	9
U.S. v. Students Challenging Regulatory Agency Procedures (SCRAP) 412 U.S. 669 (1973)	5
Union Oil Cnf0/CID 22>> BDC q ET mithn BT /F1 12 Tf 1 0 0 1 108.02	380.23 Tm 0 g 0 G [(4

General Mining Law of 1872, Ch. 152, 17 Stat. 91 (1872) (codified3@tU.S.C. §21)passim
Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009, Pub. L. No. 11411, § 2002(a), 123 Stat. 9 9 2009)18
Utah Schools and Lands Exchange Act of 1998, Pub. L. No. 105335, 112 Stat. 3139 (1998)passim
Utah Statehood Enabling Act, Ch. 138,§ 6,28 Stat. 107(1894)13
Zion National Park Act, Pub. L. No. 6683, 41 Stat. 356 (919) (codified at 16 U.S.C.§ 344)
Presidential Documents
Proclamation No. 6920Establishment of the Grand Staircalisecalante National Monument61 Fed. Reg50223 (Sept. 18, 1996)7., 8, 15
Proclamation No. 9682 Modifying the Grand Staircas Escalante National Monument 80 Fed. Reg. 235 (Dec. 4, 2017)2, 4, 7
Federal Regulations
36 C.F.R. § 228.4 (2017.)
43 C.F.R. § 3809 (2017.)
43 C.F.R. § 8341.1(a)7
Congressional Documents
Hardrock Mining and Reclamation Act of 2007, H.R. 2262, 110th Congress, 1st Sess. (2007)7
Related Filings
\$PLFXV & XULDH % ULHI RI /DZ 3URIHVVRtb/V 6XSSRUWLQJ 3 Motion to DismissHopi Tribe v. TrumpNo.1:17cv-02590TSC, (DDC Nov. 16, 2018) ECE No. 75

STATEMENT OF INTEREST

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

President Clinton establishe@irand Staircasen 1996 in accordance with the Antiquities Act, pur

Case 1:17-cv-02587-TSC Document 76-1 Filed 11/19/18 Page 9 of 30

continued conservation of the resources within the Monument as established Glogartide Staircase Proclamation and ratified by Congress Plaintiffs have alleged that the Trump Proclamation creates a risk of imminent harnhistorical and scientific resources in the lands that would be removed from the Moorent. For instance, the Trump Proclamation subjects the ands removed from protection to the General Mining Law of **1870** active permits a wide range of explorative activities to activities to active the minimal or no notice irreparably damaging sensitive resources. The resulting risk of imminent harmetearly PDNHV 3ODLQWLIIV¶ FRPSODLQWV ULSH IRU MXGLFLDO UF

As argued by amici law professors in a separatiterbefore this Court regarding the Bears Ears National Monument, which President Trump similarsyought to reduce by presidential proclamation the authority that Congress lelegated to the President under the Antiquities Act does not include the authority to modify or revoke national monument designations made by prior presidents. For this reasen, rump Proclamation is ultra vires and must not be allowed to stand there. For this reasen, rump Proclamation ratifying Grand Staircase affirming its conservation purpose and expanding its boundaries. Accordingly, the Trump Proclamation is in contration of the exercise of Congress authority with respect to the Monument and, if permitted to stand, would be an action contrary to the will of Congress as expressed by stafford these reasons, the Court should GHQ\)HGHUDO 'HIHQG BSQWV¶ PRWLRQ WR GLVPL

⁴ 30 U.S.C. §§ 21et seq

ARGUMENT

I. THE PLAINTIFFS FACE RISK OF IMMINENT INJ URY DUE TO EXPLORATIVE USES PERMITTED UNDER THE GENERAL MINING LAW OF 1872, MAKING THIS CASE RI PE FOR JUDICIAL REVI EW

Plaintiffs correctly assenthat the Trump Proclamation creates a risk of imminent harm to their recreational, aesthetic, scientatind other interests in the protected ources within Grand Staircase Plaintiffs in The Wilderness Society Trumpallege inter alia, that underthe General Mining Law of 1872, 30 U.S.C. §§ £21seq ³0LQLQJ /DZ[′] public landsremoved from the protection of the Monument by the rump Proclamation will be immediately vulnerable to the risk that prospectors will engage in exploration activities *****DVXDO XVH′ DQG ³Q KertVplutFriteHatAds tend fibriFriteNg IclaintesVLHV ZKHUH WKH\ SUHYÉRSKertVa00}, FraiRetXfSOir&Gr@rR Wetaircase Escalante Partners v. Trumpallege inter alia, WKDW WKH 7UXPS 3URFODPDWLRQ ³XQ HVVHQWLDO WR SUHYHQWLQJ WKHG KSHI JWJ B GVDKWHLR KQQRMUVDHPG access that the Mining Law standingest.⁸ The Mining Law authorizes citizens of the United States to enter unreserved and unappropriated public lands to explore for valuable mineral deposits, such as gold or copper, and to stake claims to any deposits they discover, which can create vested private property rights within such public lands. argument that Plaintiff¶V DOOHJHG La@geMyXfrkJnb Hfutkure³, VdN&cheltP agency dHFLVLRQV ´)HG 'HI 07' DW HPSKDVLV DGGHG LQLW L¹DZW/WRKORXW QRWLFH WR %/0 IRU DQ\DFWLYLWLHV L 43 C.F.R. §3809 Casual useactivities encapsulate a broad array of actions that disturb the landscape, includinĝ WKH FROOHFWLRQ RI JHRFKHPLFDO URFN using hand tools; hand praing; or non PRWRUL]HG WKOHK LXFVLHQ JR I´ ³ VPDOO SR VXFWLRQ GUHGJHV-opten@teel de/vDcteleln@the ViseEoP FWRWHRUUL]HG YHKLFOH 43 CF.R. § 8341.1(a) The Trump Proclamation explicit regarding the ability of the public to engage in officad vehicle use with ithe nearly900,000 acres of federal land stripped of protection it provides that the Secretary of the Interior mbDyOORZ PRWRUL]HG and nonmechanized vehicle use on roads and trails existing immediately before the issuance of [the Grand Staircase Proteamation

imminent risk of harm to sensitivegeological, archeological, ecological, and other resources. * LYHQ 3 O Societo Stiful, Loed root affional a state of the other interests time 0 RQXPHQW ¶ V UHVRXUFHV WKH SRWEHRQWWKL DO RLWPHERE HOD DO COV KI 3 FDVXDO X V plerm Dote Council de Yobe Willinhing Law is sufficient for eth Court to find that Plaintil I Val ¶egations are ripe for judicial review.

II. THE TRUMP PROCLAMATION EXCEEDS THE AUTHORITY DELEGATED TO THE PRESIDENT BY CONGRESS UNDER THE ANTIQUITIES ACT

The Constitution vests plenary authority over the public lands in Congress.

Const. art, IV,† FO ³7KH & RQJUHVV VKDOO KDYH 3RZHU WR 5XOHV DQG 5HJXODWLRQV UHVSHFWLQJ WKH 3URSHU 6XSUHPH & RXUW KDV FKDUDFWHUL]HG & RQJUHVV¶V DXW PrRSHUW\ & ODXVH DV %Zepp% K Re% Me@dd P6LUXSD529L533Q(1976) (citing United States v. San Franciscol 0 U.S. 16, 29 (1940)) Accordingly, presidential authority over public lands is limited to that which specifications beended egated by

Congress.

In the case offne Antiquities Act, the Preside Intasthe authRULW\WR³UHVHUYH´ S lands. 54 U.S.C. § 320301(a)However, Congress Abolish or Diminish National Monuments:03 Va. L. Rev. Onithe 55 (2017). The brief submitted by amici law professors in consolidated claefeare this Court concernintge Bears Ears National/Ionument (Case Nos. 1:407-02590 (TSC), 1:1-72v-02605(TSC), and 1:17cv-02606 (TSC)) WKH ³ (ADHUD/U \$VPLFXfully% statsLfort the legal and historical bases for these arguments, which app® yrand Staircasjaust as they doo the Bears Ears National Monumeth. As discussed in detail in that briefWKH 3UHVLGHQW¶ attempt to substantially reduce the boundarid® rafid Staircasby nearly 900,000 acres is ultra vires and beyond the authority delegated to him by the Congressed or the Antiquities Act. , Q RUGHU WR UHVSHFW WKH & RXUW¶V WLPH D arguments, we hereby incorporate the argumeetts forth in the Bears Ears Amicus Brief regarding presidential authority with respective Bears Ears National Monument, which also apply to Grand Staircase

III. CONGRESSIONAL RATIFICATION O) 7 + (02180(17 ¶ 6 BOUNDARIES PRECLUDES MODIFICATION BY PRESIDENTIAL DECLARATION

As referenced above and discusse Playntiffs and other amici, the Preside latcks authority under the Antiquities Act to reduct metscope of a national monument. the case of the Monument, the Preside lacks this authority by virtue of the fact that Congress has, by legislation, exerted its authority and expressed clear intent as to the 0 R Q X P H Q W ¶ V E R X Q G D U L H V D Q G F R Q V H U Y D W L R Q S X U S R V H to reduce the scope of the Monument.

¹⁶ \$PLFXV & XULDH % ULHI RI/DZRO @ ð 0 DFþ ^gŽ¿`1 õ € Ài8.59 Tmg 0 044>9<0

A. The President may notexercise authority delegated by Congressional manner that is contrary to Congressional intent

It is axiomatic that, when exercising delegated authority,Ptbeidents prohibited

Law and Polig 129-145 (3d ed. 2016). The school land grant policy as premised on an expectation that the state parcels, like the federal land that surrounded them, would eventually pass into private hands or be leased for development, with the proceeds benefiting UtD K \P V V F K R R Qd. FHS we Qe G kat dilt Q² the American 6 R X W K Z H V W \P V defining feature limited the potential uses over large tracts of public land, and as a result,

Case 1:17-cv-02587-TSC Document 76-1 Filed 11/19/18 Page 21 of 30

owned tracts that werebeyond the jurisdiction of the federal land managers, even though many of them containes ignificant cultural, geological and biological resour cess the kind identified in the Grand Staircase Proclamatio Steel and Staircase Act § 20/2

At the WLPH RI *UDQG 6 WDLUFDVH ¶V GH kidgott@at@overvectors LRQ DV E were underwaybetweenthe State of Utah and thefederal governmento exchangestate landswithin several othefederally protected areas in Utah for unprotected federal lands outside those areas. President Clinton¶V DQQRXQFthePOH@overvectors Proclamationalluded to the negotiations, and suggested that the designation of the Monument would ³ DFFHOHHDPVKHDQWKHPS (Specifitedally)/ President Clinton directed the Secretary of the IntHULRU WR ZRUbvezhorV&inKd conVgrBsKsi@n&i J GHOHJDWLR@com/WINRobulletXdf@afg@requestsD@ad@edthat his administration ZRXOG ³UHVROMHGUHEVRQEFEV LQ YDOXDWLR⁴ QHeLQ IDYRU specifically linked the exchange with tNORQXPHQW ¶V, @atinby that Q-OpEV@ung Q these steps, we can both protect the natural heritage of%Utfaildren and ensure theam quality educational heritage²⁵2525

In 1998, Utah and the federal governmente ached an agreeme int which Utah would convey lands to the federal government for further federal conservation interests, including state land within Grand Staircase en exchange for federal lands of equal value to be develop 60.63 Tm 223.13 7 377 JE3 /P <</ MCID 7>> BDC q T JET q T JET q 0.6t T JE⁻

15

³\$JUHHP\$p@cfillically,Utah transferred WLWOH WR WKH 8QLWHG 6WDWH the exterior boundaries of the Monument, comprising approximately 176,698.62 acres of ODQG DQG WKH PLQHUDO LQWHUHVW LQldDat§\$2(H)\$⁶[LPDWHO\ The Agreement bJRYLGHG WKDW ³ODQGV DQG LQWHUHVWV LQ O within the exterior boundaries of the Monument . . . shall become a part of the Grand StaircaseEscalante National Monument, and shall be subject to all the laws and regulations applicDEOH WR WKHId. (aff§ (G(X))PiH (betW/n, ´thefederal governmentagreed to convey to Utah federal lands outside the Monument and other conservation areas that were suitable for mineral or other economic development and the subjection. Id. at

UHVHUYDWLRQV DQG RWKHU SURYLVLRQV′RIWKH \$JUHI FRPPLWPHQWV′RIWKH SDUWLHM2.at³§D34(b)Dn FEsDimMainMagsH, U RI)HGH

Congress affirmed and specifically enumerated the conservation benefitsieved by

including the state tracts within the Monument ting that

[c]ertain State school trust lands within the Monument, like the Federal lands comprising the Monument, have substant noneconomic scientific, historic, cultural, scenic, recreational, and natural resources, including ancient Native American archeological sites and rare plant and aniomatrices.

Lands

C. 6 X E V H T X H Q W O H J L V O D W L R Q F R Q I L U P V & R Q J U H V V protect resources within Grand Staircase, precluding Presidential

CONCLUSION

3 U H V L G H Q W & O L Q WGRaQd¶ Stail@asted probladD & WuidRe Q ar Retly of important scientific and historic resources VnR X W K H U Q 8 W D K Z D V U D W L I L H G enactment of the Lands Exchange Act in 1998. The Trump Proclamation purporting to reduce the area of the Monument and open formerly protected public lands to exploration and exploitation creates a risk of immin teatrm by virtue of the operation of the Mining /DZ PDNLQJ 3 O D L Q W L I I V ¶ F R P S O D L Q W V U L S H I R U U H Y L H Z ultra vires as the President lacks the authority under the Antiquities Act to shrink a national monument, and directly optracts the intention of Congress as expressed by legislation ratifying the Monument and affirming its conservation purpose. Hester reasons, Federal Defendants ¶ motion to dismiss must be denied. Respectfully Submitted: November 19, 2018

/s/ Douglas W. Baruch Douglas W. Baruch (D.C. Bar No. 414354)

Appendix A

ON BEHALF OF THE FOLLOWING LAW PROFESSOR SIGNATORIES:

В

CHRISTINE A. KLEIN Chesterfield Smith Professor of Law University of Florida Levin College of Law

SARAH KRAKOFF Moses Lasky Professor of Law University of Colorado Law School

MONTE MILLS Associate Professor of Law and Co-Director, Margery Hunter Browhndian Law Clinic Alexander Blewett III School of Law The University of Montana